Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Spring City, Tennessee 37381-2000

William R. Lagergren, Jr. Site Vice President, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant

NOV 1 8 2004

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Gentlemen:

In the Matter of) Docket No.50-390 Tennessee Valley Authority)

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT (WBN) UNIT 1 - DOCKET NO. 50-390 - FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-90 - LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) 50-390/2004-002

This submittal provides Licensee Event Report 390/2004-002. This LER addresses an event that occurred on September 19, 2004, which resulted in an actuation of engineered safety features, which included the Reactor Protection and Auxiliary Feedwater Systems. This event is being reported under 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(iv)(A). There are no Regulatory commitments identified in this submittal.

If you have any questions about this LER, please contact P. L. Pace at (423) 365-1824.

Sincerely

W. R. Lagergten

IE22

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2

NOV 1 8 2004

Enclosure
cc (Enclosure):

INPO Records Center Institute of Nuclear Power Operations 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5957

NRC Resident Inspector Watts Bar Nuclear Plant 1260 Nuclear Plant Road Spring City, Tennessee 37381

Mr. Chandu P. Patel, Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission MS 08H12
One White Flint North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth St., SW, Suite 23T85 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

	ORM 36	6	บ.ร	s. NUCLE	EAR REGUL	ATORY (COMMIS	SSION			BY OMB: NO.			-			06/30/2007
(6-2004)	L				REPORT	•	;)		2		burden per res hours. Repo coss and fed the Records a gulatory Commi ocollects@nrc. ory Affairs, NEC shington, DC 2 ces not display duct or sponso collection.	ponse to courted lessons ack to indust ind FOIA/Prk ssion, Washi gov, and to to 28-10202, (3 20503. If a my a currently or, and a per	imply s lea iry. S vacy ingtor he D i150-t neans valid son i	with this immed are I send comm Service Bron, DC 2055 esk Officer 0104), Offic s used to II I OMB contis not require	mand ncorp ents r anch i5-000 Office e of h mposi trol nu red to	atory forate egard (T-5 i 11, or se of li danage an in Imber response	collection d Into the ing burden F52), U.S. by Internet niformation ement and nformation , the NRC and to, the
1. FACI	LITY NA								2. DC	OCKET	TNUMBER	1	3. P/	AGE			
Watt	s Bar N	Nuclear Pl	ant, Unit	: 1				1	l	0500	00 - 390	1		1 ()F 5	5	
4. TITLE																	
		actor Trip	Due to E	Oropped	Rods												
		<u>·</u>				1 7. R	FPORT	DATE			8. OT	HER FACIL	ITIE	SINVOL	VED		
5. EVENT DATE		T — —	6. LER NUMBER SEQUENTIAL REV			7. REPORT DATE			ACILITY		HER I AC.				(ET NU	JMBER	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	NUMBI		МОМТН	DAY	YEAI	R _		· 				05	000	
09	19	2004	2004	- 002	- 00	11	18	2004		ACILITY	NAME				1		JMBER
							<u> </u>									000	
9. OPER	RATING	MODE	_		:PORT IS SU				TO TH	HE RE	QUIREMENT		FR	_			oly)
		1		.2201(b)			2203(a)(닏	50.73(a)(2)(F	50.73(a			
	Mode	1		.2201(d)			2203(a)(닠	50.73(a)(2)(Ļ	50.73(a			
			_	.2203(a)(1		-	2203(a)(Щ	50.73(a)(2)(Ļ	50.73(a			
				.2203(a)(2			36(c)(1)(H	50.73(a)(2)(Ļ	50.73(a			
10. POV	VER LE	VEL		.2203(a)(2			36(c)(1)(旦	50.73(a)(2)(· ·	Ļ] 50.73(a		x)	ļ
		,		.2203(a)(2		=	36(c)(2)			Ţ	50.73(a)(2)(Ē] 73.71(a			
	4 0 O D	. !		.2203(a)(2		50.4	46(a)(3)((ii)			50.73(a)(2)(Ĺ] 73.71(a			
ĺ	100%	ó I		.2203(a)(2		=	73(a)(2)(50.73(a)(2)(OTHER			
		1	2 0.	.2203(a)(2	2)(vi)	50.7	73(a)(2)((i)(B)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)		Specify or In NR	In Ab	stract I	below
					12,	LICENS	EE CON	ITACT	FOR T	THIS L	ER .			Ci iii iii	.0 ,	Inoc	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>
FACILITY	NAME											TELEPI	HONE	NUMBER (Include	Area	Code)
Rickey	Stockto	on, Licens	sing Eng	ineer										(423) 36			
			13. COM	PLETE C	NE LINE FC	REACH	COMP	ONENT	FAIL	URE D	DESCRIBED	IN THIS RE	POI	RT			
CAUS		SYSTEM	COM	PONENT	MANU-		RTABLE		CAUSE		SYSTEM	COMPONE	N.T	MANU			ORTABLE
- CAU	<u>*</u>	3131Em	COM	ONENT	FACTURER	то	EPIX				5151EW	COMPONE	<u></u>	FACTUR	ER	T	OEPIX
В		AA	Trai	nsistor	W351								1				
ļ			3112DLE	LEVEN	SERORE	VOCATE		<u> </u>		$-\!\!+$		<u></u>	-	 -			
		14.	SUPPLE	MENIAL	REPORT E	XPECIE	D]	15. EXF	PECTED ISSION	Į	MONTH	DA	(Y	YEAR
Q YE	S (If ye	es, comple:	te 15. EXI	PECTED	SUBMISSIO	N DATE))) NO				ISSION ITE	Ī				
ABSTRA	CT (Limi	i 10 1400 sp	aces. I.e., E	approximat	lely 15 single-s	paced type	ewritten li	nes)									
	J. 1	110	1000,, _	ppromise	ory 10 0g.c -,	P2002 - Jr -	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10-7									
	02.80	-stombor	10 200	M atar	vimato	L-0450	houre	Sacto	Da	- diaht	t Savings T	: (EDI	5V 1	Init 1 wa	- in	Mod	1.1
											r Savings i red simultar						
											ed simultar 2, P10, in c						anure,
											ed in a rapi						-ent
					ximately 85			1112 64	/CIR IS	Count	su iii u iapi	u power c	II OP	IO abou	l U,	perc)CI IL
	VIII	11011	JIII204 4	i uppi.c.	Minutely 4.	poles.	к.										
1	During	a this trar	nsient, o	perator	s took mar	nual con	itral of	the ma	ain fe	edwa	ter regulati	ina valves	s. O	nerators	res	ond	led to
											ximately 04						
											Coolant Po						
											nserted pro						
											ne trip, as e		•				
					_					-				· •• • .		_	ļ
											wer cabine						
											ement of th		t bo	pard card	is in	the	rod
	contro	ol power	cabinet	and sta	.nd down m	neetings	s to brid	ef the	oncor	ming	crews of th	ıis event.					

NRC FORM 366 (6-2004)

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

(1-2001)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
War Balling Blood Hard	05000 000	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 25	05 E
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000 - 390	2004	2004 002 '00			OF 5

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

I. PLANT CONDITION(S)

On September 19, 2004, at approximately 0452 Eastern Daylight Savings Time (EDT) hours, Unit 1 was in Mode 1, steady state operation at 100 percent power. The Reactor Coolant System (RCS) (Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) Code AB) pressure was approximately 2235 psig and RCS Tavg was approximately 588 degrees F.

II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

A. Event

On September 19, 2004, at approximately 0452 hours, Unit 1 was in Mode 1, steady state operation at 100 percent power when the operators received simultaneously Control Rod Urgent Failure, Rod Position Indication (RPI) Trouble, and rods at bottom alarms. Four control rods (EIIS Code BA), F14, B6, K2, P10, in control bank B group 2, fell to bottom of core and initiated the rods at bottom alarm. This event resulted in a rapid power drop to about 67 percent which then stabilized at approximately 85 percent.

During this transient, operators took manual control of the main feedwater regulating valves (EIIS Code V). Operators responded to the rods at bottom indications by manually tripping the reactor at approximately 0456 EDT. Systems functioned as expected in automatic with the exception of the loop number 1 Reactor Coolant Pump (EIIS Code AB/P) which failed to transfer to its respective start bus (EIIS Code BU) on generator (EIIS Code GEN) lockout. The remaining control rods inserted properly in response to the reactor trip. The Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) System (EIIS Code BA) actuated in response to the trip, as expected.

B. Inoperable Structures, Components, or Systems that Contributed to the Event

There were no inoperable structures, components, or systems that contributed to this event.

C. Dates and Approximate Times of Major Occurrences:

Date	Time	Event
09/19/04	04:52:44	Unit 1 Reactor at full power – all conditions normal
09/19/04	04:52:45	Main Control Room (MCR) received a "Control Rod Urgent Failure" alarm.
09/19/04	04:52:46	MCR received a "Control RPI Trouble" alarm.
09/19/04	04:52:47	Four Control Rods Dropped in Control Bank Group B Group 2. MCR receives a "Rods at Bottom" alarm.
09/19/04	04:52:48	Computer Enhanced Rod Position Indication (CERPI) (EIIS Code AA/ZI) Panel in MCR shows K2 Control Rod as invalid – B6 and P10 Indicated as valid
09/19/04	04:52:52	CERPI Panel in MCR shows K2, B6, and P10 control rods as invalid
09/19/04	04:52:53	CERPI panel in MCR shows K2, B6, F14, and P10 control rods as invalid.
09/19/04	04:52:54	CERPI Panel in MCR shows B6 and P10 control rods as invalid
09/19/04	04:52:55	CERPI Panel in MCR shows K2, B6, F14, and P10 Control rods as valid.

(1-2001)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
Man D. M. J. Brand Man	05000 200	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2	05.5
atts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000 - 390	2004	- 002	00	3	OF 5

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

C. Dates and Approximate Times of Major Occurrences: (Continued)

Date Time Event

09/19/04 04:56 MCR crew alarm response

09/19/04 04:56 Unit 1 Reactor was manually tripped

D. Other Systems or Secondary Functions Affected:

The four dropped rods prompted sudden reactor downpower which caused a secondary side transient.

E. Method of Discovery:

This condition caused a number of alarms in the main control room.

F. Operator Actions:

Operations responded to the plant transient in accordance with appropriate plant procedures. Based on these actions, the unit was placed in a stable condition in Mode 3. However, there was an area of crew performance that did not meet management expectations. This issue was identified as the 3 minutes and 34 seconds time span between the dropping of the Bank 2 rods and crew initiation of the reactor trip. This condition has been placed into TVA's corrective action program.

G. Safety System Responses:

Upon manual trip of the reactor, the Auxiliary Feedwater System started as expected. See Section IV for further details and analysis of this event.

III. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

A. Immediate Cause:

The immediate cause for this event was the dropping of the four control rods into the reactor core. This event promptly caused a reactor downpower which stabilized at approximately 85 percent and caused a secondary side transient.

B. Root Cause:

The root cause of the reactor trip was a malfunction of a rod control power cabinet circuit. TVA performed a Kepner Tregoe (KT) analysis of this dropped rod event and determined that the cause could have been the failure of one of three cards (Regulator Card-most probable, Phase Control Card-probable, and a Firing Board Card-least probable) which provide power and control functions for Rod Control Bank B, Group 2 that contained the four rods that dropped. All three cards were shipped to Westinghouse to perform "post-mortem" testing to determine the exact cause. The Westinghouse test report revealed that a transistor (Q7) had failed on the Phase Control Card. The report also stated that this transistor failure would have caused the dropped group that was seen in this event.

C. Contributing Factors

There were no contributing factors for this event that were identified.

NRC FORM 366A

(1-2001)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
Make Des Nivels of Disease Links	05000 200	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	4	05 F
∕atts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000 - 390	2004	004 002 00			OF 5

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

Dropped Rod Cluster Control Assemblies (RCCA) are discussed in Section 15.2.3 of the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR). As described in this UFSAR section, a dropped RCCA can be detected by one of the following ways, 1) a sudden drop in the core power level as seen by the nuclear instrumentation system, 2) an asymetric power distribution as seen on out of core neutron detectors or core exit thermocouples, 3) rod at bottom signal, 4) rod deviation alarm (control banks only), and 5) rod position indicators. This UFSAR section also evaluates the effect on core reactivity which concludes that for cases of dropped RCCAs or dropped banks the Departure from Nucleate Boiling (DNB) remains greater that the limit value and therefore DNB design basis is met. Analysis of this event reveals that when the four rods dropped during this event, the plant responded as would be expected and within the bounds of the UFSAR analysis described above. Therefore, it can be concluded that there was no safety significance as a result of this event.

V. ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

Based on the discussion in Section IV above, there was no safety significance to this event.

VI. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

A. Immediate Corrective Actions

Since a Kepner Tregoe (KT) analysis of the dropped rod event determined that the cause could have been the failure of one of three cards (Regulator Card-most probable, Phase Control Card-probable, and a Firing Board Card-least probable), TVA conservatively replaced all three cards.

For the number 1 RCP failure to transfer issue, TVA found that a fuse had been blown in the Potential Transformer (PT) portion of the transfer circuit which prevented this transfer. TVA subsequently replaced this fuse.

B. Corrective Actions to Prevent Recurrence

The circuit boards were shipped to Westinhouse to perform "post mortem" testing on each of the cards to determine the exact cause of the failure. Results of this testing concluded that a transistor had failed on the Phase Control Card. No further action is required by TVA at this time.

To address the crew performance issues, stand down meetings were conducted with each crew, prior to assuming shift, where this event was discussed along with information related to CERPI response involving how screens change while updating.

(1-2001)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER		3. PAGE	
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000 300	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER			
wans bar ruclear Flam, Office	05000 - 390	2004	002	5 OF 5		

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

VII. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. Failed Components:

The Westinghouse test report revealed that transistor, Q7, had failed on the Phase Control Card in the Rod Control System.

B. Previous LERs on Similar Events:

A review of previous WBN LEAs reveals that there have been no other events associated with dropped rods.

C. Additional Information:

None.

D. Safety System Functional Failure Consideration:

This event is not considered a safety system functional failure in accordance with NEi 99-02 in that the principal plant safety systems operated as designed. Therefore, the functional capability of the overall system was not jeopardized.

E. Loss Of Normal Heat Removal Consideration:

This event is not considered a scram with loss of normal heat removal.

VIII. COMMITMENTS

None.